CYBER-INSURANCE AS A SIGNALING GAME: SELF-REPORTING AND EXTERNAL SECURITY AUDITS

Aron Laszka (Univ. of Houston), Emmanouil Panaousis (Univ. of Surrey), Jens Grossklags (Tech. Univ. of Munich)

Motivation and Overview

- Cyber-insurance market is growing rapidly and is expected to reach \$14 billion by 2022
- However, the market faces many challenges, such as information asymmetry between insurers and clients
- Information asymmetry causes adverse selection, which increases insurance premiums and decreases adoption
- Insurer may use security audits to overcome information asymmetry, but these audits can be very expensive
- We introduce a game-theoretic model to study the potential clients' self-reporting and the insurer's auditing decisions
- We present numerical results showing how less expensive and more effective audits alleviate information asymmetry

- Two-player signaling game between organization (potential client) and insurer
 Organization's security level t ∈ S
 - chosen at random by Nature to model uncertainty
 - known by the organization, but not by the insurer
 - insurer knows only the probability distribution
- 2. Organization **reports security level** $r \in S$ to the insurer
- 3. Insurer decides whether to **perform a security audit**
 - audit reveals the true security level t, but costs C
- 4. Insurer chooses **premium** *p* to ask from the client
- 5. Client decides whether to purchase insurance



Utilities and Solution Concept

Numerical Results

- Organization's utility
 - with insurance coverage: $\mathcal{U}_t^{org,acc}(p) = U(W p)$
 - without insurance coverage: $\mathcal{U}_t^{org,rej} = (1-t) \cdot U(W-L) + t \cdot U(W)$
- Insurer's utility
 - with audit: $\mathcal{U}^{ins,aud}(t,p) = (p - (1 - t) \cdot L) \cdot 1_{\{\text{insurance accepted}\}} - C$
 - without audit:

 $\mathcal{U}^{ins,noaud}(t,p) = (p - (1-t) \cdot L) \cdot 1_{\{\text{insurance accepted}\}}$

- Solution concept: perfect Bayesian Nash equilibrium: mixed profile $(\rho^*, (a^*, p^{N^*}, p^{A^*}))$ is equilibrium if
 - for each security level *t*, the client plays a best response: $\rho^{t^*} \in \operatorname{argmax}_{\rho^t} \mathbb{E}\left[\mathcal{U}_t^{org}\right]\left(\rho^t, a, p^N, p^A\right)$
 - insurer plays a best response:

 $(\times N^* \Lambda^*)$

Organization's and Insurer's Utilities



$$(\boldsymbol{a}^*, \boldsymbol{p}^{N^+}, \boldsymbol{p}^{A^+}) \in \operatorname{argmax}_{(\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{p}^N, \boldsymbol{p}^A)} \sum_{t \in \mathcal{S}} \Pr[T = t \mid R = r] [a_r \cdot \mathcal{U}^{ins, aua}(t, p_t^A)]$$

$$-(1-a_r)\cdot \mathcal{U}^{ins,noaud}(t,p_r^N)$$

0.16 0.18 0.2 0.22 0.24 C

Audit Probability for Reported Level r = R



Conclusion

- We introduced a novel model to study selfreported security levels, audit decisions, and adverse selection
- In future work, we plan to characterize the equilibria, consider forensic investigations after an incident and security investments

